IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1405 OF 2005

Shanu Ranchhod Patel ...Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

.....

Mr.Uday Warunjikar for Applicant.

Mr.A.S.Gadkari, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1.

Mr.A.P.Vanarse for Respondent No.2.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

APRIL 11, 2005.

P.C.

1. Heard Counsel for the parties.

2. This Application is for cancelling the anticipatory bail granted in favour of Respondent No.2 by the Sessions Judge, Pune in C.R.No.677 of 2004 registered at Kothrud Police Station.

3. Learned A.P.P., on instructions, states that the Respondent No.2 has not been named as

accused in C.R.No.677 of 2004. If it is so, there is no occasion for considering the challenge to the anticipatory bail order passed in favour of the Respondent No.2 by the Sessions Judge, which is subject matter of this Application.

4. Learned A.P.P., however, further points out that the Investigating Officer has registered non-cognizable offence against the Respondent No.2 and necessary steps are being taken to pursue that complaint to its logical end.

5. Accordingly, in my opinion, nothing survives for consideration in the present Application, for the simple reason that the Respondent No.2 is no more named as accused in C.R.No.677 of 2004 registered at Kothrud Police Station and the anticipatory bail order passed in his favour by the Sessions Judge, Pune, therefore, is worked out in that sense.

6. Insofar as new non-cognizable offence registered against the Respondent No.2, needless to observe that the appropriate Authorities will take

necessary steps to take that matter to its logical end, as expeditiously as possible.

7. Application disposed of accordingly.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.